THINK COLLEGE PROGRAM REVIEW REPORT



University of Nevada RenoPath to Independence (P2I)

Report Date: August2019

External Reviewer: Dana Lattin, MSEd

danalattin@gmail.com

785.550.4696

Introduction

The University of Nevductf f duc.00 (0.)10.0.00 TJ -0.008 Tw 24.348 0 Td [(e)-3 (n)2.2 (o)4.3 (,)-1 ()-11.3

that were provided include: agreements with

with an Education Coackworking on homework. P2hformed the students and employers/instructors that an observer would visiDuring classroom visits, the server did not engage with the student, other students or the instructor before, during or after class. At employment observations between succeed the student perform his/her job and askiese studenta few questions about different aspects of the job that were no immediately observable.

ProgramEvaluation

ProgramOverview

Path to Independence (P2I) at the University of Nevada Reno is a collabor factorities the Nevada Center for Excellence in Disabilities (ED), the University of Nevada Reno Extended Studies Department, the Bureau of Vocational Rehabilitation (BVR), long ab RalC

Academic Access

Students in P2I have access to the full UNR undergraduate catalog to fulfill the coursework requirements of the P2I program of usely. They are fulfiledged UNR students who are categorized non-degree seeking, pattme students. They pay the same tuition and fees as other UNR students in this category, an spendfour semesters working toward a certificate through UNR Extended Studies (continuing education)P2I has a general program of study that requires students to the takourse in eachgeneral areæach semestera) math/science/environment; b) literature/art/language; c) social studies/civilizationsand, d) physidatitness The students' pick courses that are of interest to them personally or that help them work toward their career/employment interests' dentified through their person-centered planning process that is reviewed and updated each semester.

Courses can be takefor credit or audit and saff reported most students take courses for audit rather than credit. Students can work with an ed coachest her or not a course is taken for credit or audit. It was reported that the course content and expectations are not "wateredn" – P2I students are expected to attend class, complete assignments, take tests, complete projects/estif they are auditing (no grade) Coursework can only be modified/adapted if the course being audited. Students taking courses for credit receive accommodations only.

In addition students taketwo courses each semesterathare not inclusive: an independent living course and a technology labThesecourses are not in the UNR course catalog and **specialized** for studentsin P2I However, some coaches and social mentors participiate IL course and it is frequently taught by community members in fields related to the topic (e.g. sexuality/dating taught by Planned Parenthood personnethef taught cooking, etc.) instead of P2I stations recently, the Academic Coordinator (a relatively new staff person) identified that studentse programdid not have skills/experience using computers for the variety of tasks typidally loping UNR students use them for. Therefore, he created a technology course 1x/week in a UNR computer lab. It is not a credit bearing course. It was developed address students imited technology skills and very few students have or use their own computer their college work

Education coaches are identified to work with each student to support them in class, take notes, proctor exams, cordinate accommodations with the instructors, implement adaptations support students complete homework and course projects. Education coaches also attend the physical education classes with students to support them to engage in the activity, increase their endurance, and model classroom participation. The Academic Coordinator provides initial orientation and training for education coaches and meets with them on a monthly basis as much as schedules allow. In addition, education coaches are expected complete notes in Cognitopia, however, how the model their notes consistently has been challenging.

TC Indicator

Campus Membership

The results from the previsit survey staff indicate hat program staff have implemented some

| TC Indicator | Strengths | Areas for Growth |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|
| Ensure student involvement in and | Students have a personentered | A stronger focus on technology |
| control of theestablishment of | plan that is updated each semeste | r supports that will allow students to |
| personal goals that, reflect student | and is used to guide their | be more independent and self |
| interests/desires from person | coursework, employment | reliant. |
| centered planningare reviewed | experiences and student life | |
| regularly and modified as needed to | experiences. | |
| reflect changes in | | |
| interests/preferencesaddress | | |
| accommodations and technology | | |
| needs lead to outcomes dsired by | | |
| the student, reflect family input as | | |
| desired by student | | |
| Ensure development and promotio | | |
| of self-determination skills for | | |
| students with intellectual | | |
| disabilities as evidenced by | | |
| students monitoring own progress | | |
| toward goalsdirecting choice of | | |
| courses, activities and employment | | |
| involvement in course registration, | | |
| accommodation requests, and | | |
| tuition payment involved in all | | |
| aspects of employment (e.g. resum | e | |
| creating, job interviews, follow up | | |
| calls, negotiating job change) | | |
| interacting directly with faculty and | | |
| | | |

non-degree students, they are unable to participate $\mathbb{N}\mathbb{R}$ J graduation ceremonies and activities, so they have a separate small ceremo \mathbb{Q} /.6 (n)1 (b)-0.7 (l).

TC Indicator

Strengths

Areas for

are completed as anticipated seems docur naturally.P2I staff are a resource to UNRits, diversity initiatives, and employer and their expertise is alues and utilized by the campus community for the UNR inclusion and diversity summit, presentations to faculty and classes, and par(ic)-0.7 (a6l)-3.3(i)-3.3 (o)-9.6

Ongoing Evaluation

P2I staff conduct fairly extensive evaluation activities. Each semester multiple stakeholders are surveyed regarding their experience with individual aspection line instructors, parents and education coaches. Rating scales and-opded items are include in the instructor survey. The Employment Coordinator meets with students' work superviseds monthto collect information about work site. Finally, P2boducts followup calls foreveryP2Igraduate each year using the Think College follow-up instrument with a few more questions/items added the only evaluation instrument reviewed by the program evaluator was the instructor survey. A content and process review of all P2I data collection methods truments and recent aggregated dashould be reviewed

barrier to selfdeterminationmuch in the way paraprofessionals are a barrieadademic access and socialization of students in public school.

APPENDIX A

Summary of abbreviated hink College Standards, Quality Indicators, and Benchmarks for Inclusive Higher Educatios urvey results.

APPENDIX B

UNR P2I Site Visit Protocol

Site Visit schedule

APPENDIX C

Interview Questions